

Nevada Republican Men's Club Newsletter

VOLUME MMVII, NUMBER 2

THURSDAY, JANUARY 25, 2007

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE BY DR. CHRIS COMFORT

Congratulations, our club is off to a great start with our last speaker, Ty Cobb, AD #26. We had approximately 10 new members and I wish to say thank you for your attendance and your continued diligence in referring your colleagues and friends to us!

When I ponder the 2008 election, I often engage in 'scenario-thinking' and 'option-solving.' Recently, Senator Clinton announced her candidacy for our nation's highest office. My thoughts are that this country is not ready or will ever be ready for her. Willing to throw money at every bit of pork, earmark, and social program; her party's willingness to embrace Dina Titus, Jon Edwards, Howard Dean, John Kerry and all day kinder care, are not hallmarks of any successful platform. We are not ready for incompetence.

When our founding fathers anticipated the longevity of our Constitution, they considered what went wrong and right for the previous known thousands of years of human history and our attempts to govern ourselves as social beings. They recognized that our constitutional framework can be adapted to anyone person in power and thus, devised a system of checks and balances. This system ensures that decisions that affect the people that they serve will be good, account for the injustices of society, and regulate human behavior toward our inherent good. Regardless, that system works when man is desirous of having a moral character.

Our country was founded upon a moral precept that all men are created equal and good and that their character must be developed with one purpose in mind: that of having faith in God. It is our faith that guides our principles in politics and the movement of this country toward being great. It determines whether or not we throw money irresponsibly at worthless projects or failed social experiments. It commands us to behave in a responsible fashion, to conduct ourselves within the boundaries of diplomacy both at home and abroad.

The 2008 election requires that we as Republicans, in order to win the White House and other offices, must pull together in the great tradition of our

**Our Next Luncheon Meeting is:
Monday, February 5, 2007
Ellis Island Casino
11:15 a.m. – Buffet Opens
12:00 Noon – Program Begins
1:00 p.m. – Adjourn**

This Month's Speaker is Jack Clark, Henderson City Councilman and Forensic Psychophysicologist. Get an inside look at local politics and policy from a native of the Las Vegas area with more than ten years experience in local government and regional boards. Topics include public policy, minimum wage and our current tax system.

founding fathers. To think ahead, we must plan for our fiscal conservatism by following our representative leadership, by identifying issues and by providing possible solutions allowing for an integrated representative democracy. More importantly, we must recognize that the Constitution, in the absence of morality, allows for anyone to hold office- think about it!

The 2008 election may require a warrior President, one who understands the nature of war and the subtleties of effective diplomacy (is anyone thinking about writing to Colin Powell?) Republicans have traditionally lead our country according to the precepts located within the Constitution and shall act accordingly for future bridge building and political discourse. Whereas debate is good and inherently meant to serve the public trust, it is time to ameliorate our differences and focus upon the issues. These issues, albeit too numerous to list even in this message, should focus upon: education, porous borders, and keeping our economy strong for all bona fide Americans.

Thank you, Chris Comfort

**SEVEN MORE PAGES FOLLOW!!!
SEE ORIGINAL COMMENTARY ON
Democrat Stealth Taxes
Full-day Kindergarten
Veterans' Raw Deal from Politicians
Immigration Issues
Republican Call to Arms**

<http://www.republicanmensclub.com>

Stealth Taxes Passed by Democrat Congress

By Martin Janowski, NRMCA Corresponding Secretary

The 110th Congress, controlled by Democrats in both houses, will not be a "do-nothing" Congress. They're already fixing things the only way they know how...by raising taxes.

In the first 100 hours, the House passed a bill raising the Federal minimum wage over the next two years from \$5.15 an hour to \$7.25 an hour (by the way, add another dollar to the minimum wage figures for Nevada). This bill created more than the public purpose of "helping the poor make a living wage". It raised taxes more than 40 percent each on individuals and businesses over the next two years. It's a stealth tax, about to be revealed in this column, although smart small business owners have already figured this out.

First, let's call the minimum wage increase by its real name. It's an unfunded Federal mandate. Congress is not giving your small business any funds to pay this increase. It comes out of your pocket, backed up by the force of law.

The 40 percent tax increase comes from the increase in Social Security taxes. Right now, any wage earner pays more than 7.5 percent of their wages to Social Security (divided between Social Security and Medicare, cutely named FICA I and FICA II). The business that pays the wages also pays an additional 7.5 percent to those cute little FICAs. Woe to the self-employed! You get a little deduction, but you pay the entire 15 percent on your business earnings.

An employee earning \$5.15 an hour pays about \$813 in FICA taxes for a full year of employment. That same worker, earning \$7.25 an hour, pays \$1144 in FICA taxes for the year. The employer pays the same 40 percent in increased taxes for each worker hired.

Oddly enough, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid never put out a news release announcing the fact that the government was going to receive this windfall of a tax increase. They also failed to announce that this tax is the most regressive of all Federal taxes. The poor, many of whom pay no Federal income tax, must pay this entire tax without exception. The biggest winner was not "the children" or "the poor" or "minorities"; it was Uncle Sugar, who takes a large piece of this increase from everyone. The educational community is firmly behind this

Of course, small businesses have options if they want to keep the amount of Social Security they pay from being increased. They can lay off some of their workers to pay the higher wage. They can drop plans to expand or increase the number of businesses and franchises they operate. But if they want to grow their business and expand our economy, they have to pay the increased tax. Larger businesses and corporations do what they always do when they are required to pay a new tax...they pass it on to consumers by raising prices for their goods and services. You, the consumer, pays the tax out of your meager pockets.

The hidden benefit of this stealth tax increase shores up the rapidly depleting Social Security and Medicare trust funds (trust us, we promise to pay you!), putting off any talk of Social Security reform until well after the 2008 election cycle. It's not just the minimum wage worker that will pay more in taxes. Many union contracts and salaried workers will have their wages raised at equal or greater rates.

Democrats in Congress received a myriad of benefits from this small bill. First, they look like heroes to minimum wage and union workers by passing this unfunded mandate. Second, they raise a large amount of revenue without being accused of raising taxes. Finally, they take Social Security reform off the table as an election issue for 2008.

And you thought the Democrats had no plan....

Full Day Kindergarten... *Solving The Wrong Problem*

By Martin Janowski, NRMCA Corresponding Secretary

It's tough being a grandparent or a favorite uncle or aunt. When that delightful little relative smiles at you sweetly and asks you to pull out your wallet and pay for something they'd never ask their parents for, it's never a question of whether they need it. You pay for it because they asked you to and you can probably afford it.

This analogy may describe Nevada taxpayers' situation this year with their favorite young relatives, the state legislature. We have a current budget surplus, and their big request this year is for universal full-day kindergarten. It's only \$186 million which includes \$28 million for construction of kindergarten classrooms, and it's, you guessed it, for the children.

move. It's one of the major cornerstones of the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) 2002 manifesto entitled "At the Starting Line, Early Childhood Education in the 50 States". They call for a "guarantee of full day kindergarten for all children whose families want them to participate." They also call for public preschool for all children, but the Nevada public education lobby doesn't want to shove that whole camel into the tent just yet.

Although educational researchers laud full-day kindergarten as preparing children to do better in first grade and beyond, the actual results are mixed. States that require all day kindergarten rank academically as high as Virginia (#13) or Ohio (#15), but this list also includes the District of Columbia (#51), Louisiana (#47) and West Virginia (#44). States that only require half-day kindergarten academically rank from Utah (#21) and Kansas (#22) to Kentucky (#34).

I wanted to remove my personal bias from this discussion since I only went to half-day kindergarten and don't feel deprived or left behind by that experience. I also believe that parents should be primarily responsible for early childhood education. So I talked to my sister-in-law, who has taught for more than 20 years in the state of New Mexico, teaching both half-day and full-day kindergarten. Her bias is clear...she is very much in favor of full-day kindergarten. Even with that bias, she brings up some real world questions and considerations that all of us need to think about and consider before we throw a lot of dollars at this initiative.

First, New Mexico phased in full-day kindergarten over a five-year period, starting with economically depressed neighborhoods and then expanding it statewide. My sister-in-law expressed surprise that Nevada would do it all at once because it would take quite a lot of money and resources to pull it off.

The building of facilities, in her view, would be quite a problem, too. Kindergarten classrooms need their own bathroom facilities and much of the equipment and supplies are hands-on stuff (kinder-blocks, finger paint, etc.). When children enter first grade, they're doing much more deskwork, reading and writing, and much less hands on discovery tasks. She cited for me the fact that only special education students cost more per pupil than kindergarten students did.

Nevada must double the number of teachers for full-day kindergarten. If our schools are anything like New Mexico, a teacher had to teach two half-day kindergartens to be paid as a full-time teacher. Classroom facility and numbers restrictions prevent a doubling of kindergarten children per teacher, so the number of teachers must double to handle the new load. Last time I checked, we had a shortage of hundreds of teachers in Clark County.

One requirement of New Mexico's full-day kindergarten law that should be part of Nevada's law is that any parent can "opt out" of full-day kindergarten and take their child home after only a half-day of attendance. My sister-in-law told me that many parents, even in the poor neighborhood school that she teaches in, opt for this approach, taking personal responsibility for their child's early education. She reluctantly conceded that many parents support full-day kindergarten because it represents free day care for their kindergarten age children.

All nice thoughts and good intentions go forward with full-day kindergarten, which makes it almost a certainty that, without strong and organized opposition, this initiative will become law in the 2007 legislative session. I believe the budget estimates are wrong and this will cost far more than the \$186 million our educators are calling for. Where will additional money come from?

I fear that it may come from cuts in other state education funding. American students do exceptionally well in international comparisons with European students at the 4th grade level in testing comparisons. By the time they reach middle school and high school, they score below average compared to their European counterparts. If anything calls for education reform, accountability, and greater education resources, it's the academic achievement of these students, not our early education programs.

But let's face it. Kindergartners are SO MUCH CUTER than teenagers!

You don't get invited to a fancy dinner and just eat the appetizer and leave! This Newsletter is just an appetizer. More articles, photos special features will be included in 2007 on the NRMC WEB SITE. Visit it now and see what's new! NRMC IS ON THE WEB!
<http://www.republicanmensclub.com>

Veterans Getting Raw Deal From Politicians!

By Steve William Sanson

It has been extremely gratifying to be a Veteran of both the United States Marine Corps and Army. One of my goals in life is to promote veterans needs. I find it appalling that veterans are being used and pacified by our leaders.

Political rivals claim to be doing a good job for veterans, but in reality they hardly follow through with any real change for the veteran community. Veterans end up being pacified by politicians, yet at times perceive the political world as being genuine due to convincing rhetoric.

All Nevada Federal politicians claimed that they single handedly obtained money for a brand new Veterans Hospital. I went to a ceremony for a new Veterans Hospital in 2004 with US Representatives Senator John Ensign, Congresswoman Shelly Berkley, Congressman Jon Porter, then Congressman Jim Gibbons, and Former Secretary of Veterans Affairs Anthony Principi.

Two years later, in 2006, I am at a Ground Breaking Ceremony joined by the list above and with US Representative Senator Harry Reid and newly appointed Secretary of Veterans Affairs Jim Nicholson. All parties seemed to be proud of a 120 bed VA Hospital that is going to be completed in 2011, five years from now, with a population of 300,000 Veterans in Southern Nevada. Could you imagine what the population is going to be in five years? We are going to outgrow this hospital before it's even built. Hotel Casinos could go up in 18 months with 4000 plus rooms; this I do not understand. OK, it takes time, due to where the funding is coming from, yet schools go up within six months to a year.

For decades, our Veterans have moved to the areas where VA Hospitals were located to be able to receive treatment. Many of these Veterans are too old to move again in order to receive treatment for their SERVICE- CONNECTED conditions contracted while fighting for our country and our freedom. Many of these Veterans are DISABLED who cannot afford medical treatment other than the VA Healthcare System or they do not have money to drive to another part of the State or Country for treatment or hospitalization.

Nevada Veterans have to go to California to receive treatments that clinics cannot provide. According to our politicians, it's going to be another five years! Let us not forget that Southern Nevada has been without a VA Hospital for several years already, ever since the VA Hospital located on Martin Luther King Blvd. was considered unsafe to occupy for its Health and Welfare problems. The new VA Hospital is slated to be built in North Las Vegas North Pecos and the 215 Beltway.

At least 600,000 people in this country are homeless on any given night. VA estimates that more than a quarter million are veterans; of those, approximately 80 percent are disabled. Each year, half a million veterans find themselves without a home at some point. Many sick veterans are living on welfare and being denied proper psychological support and home care. The demand for VA services surrounding mental health and substance abuse is likely to increase very soon. Recent media reports indicate that rates of alcohol and other drug use are on the rise among those serving in Iraq. The Army's Surgeon General just issued the results of a survey finding that 30 percent of those returning from Iraq developed some mental health problem shortly after returning home. This is a problem that is not a priority with our politicians.

I have had an opportunity to ask the tough questions to our United States Representatives: I served in Desert Storm stationed in Saudi Arabia and I witnessed first hand how our Jewish and Christian troops had to practice their religion in private (not to offend the Saudi Government). I asked both Republicans, Nevada Senator John Ensign and Congressman Jon Porter "why are our troops forced to hide their religion in a country that they are there to protect?" I never received a straight answer.

I asked the Democrat leader, Senator Harry Reid, "why are our troops, the first line of defense, the first group of people to have their disability benefits cut or denied and why does it take our troops 18-24 months to receive prosthetic limbs?" I never received a straight answer. I have always wanted to know "why our military servicemen and women are paying federal income taxes?" I personally believe that they should be exempt.

Servicemen and women do not make enough money. Most servicemen and women are also qualified to receive welfare; what is wrong with this?

Soldiers who served in World War I were paid \$1 a day, plus a 25-cent stipend for every day spent overseas. In 1924, Congress passed a law calling for every veteran of The Great War to receive an additional dollar for every day served. But the payment was not due for 20 years.

Most politicians use veterans to get elected and they only seem to show service to veterans when it is an election cycle. Hardly any of our politicians know what its like to wear the uniform and watch their comrades die before their eyes.

The more I become involved in politics the more my eyes open to the disappointment of phony politicians, with a lot of talk yet little follow through. I believe that I need to help change politics and I need to bring forth the injustice that has seeped into our State and Country for a long time. Although I am one man, someone has to take a stand.

Where were the politicians in our last Nevada Veterans Day Parade? The only politicians I saw were Las Vegas Mayor Oscar Goodman and Las Vegas City Councilman Steve Wolfson.

At least former County Commissioner Lynette Boggs-McDonald has her Annual Veteran Appreciation Breakfast. What have our politicians done to effect change with our Veteran Community?

I have received a number of phone calls from Las Vegas citizens, wanting to know what they can do to help out the troops. My best suggestion is to go through the USO, the Red Cross, the Salvation Army, Veterans of Foreign Wars, or The Greater Las Vegas Marine Corps League. All of these organizations have programs to send "care packages" either overseas to the troops, or to provide aid to the families left behind.

If you have a computer, you can go online to any of the Military Exchange web sites, where they are accepting cash donations that will be given to the troops and their families in the form of gift certificates for use at the exchange. Most of the local Veterans Service Organization Posts are standing by to assist any families in our area who could use help around the house, while the service member is deployed.

Disabled Veterans are being denied funds by the Bush administration. To fully offset the repeal of the

Disabled Veterans' Tax, imposed on more than half a million-disabled veterans, a piecemeal compromise with a 10-year phased-in program is currently being discussed. Unfortunately, such a program would mean many current disabled veterans would be in the grave before their chance to receive their benefits comes to fruition. This battle against an unjust tax has continued for 18 years, while the President's request for funding \$87 billion to support Iraq has taken less than two months. Many veterans are suggesting a phase-in program for the \$87 billion needed for Iraq and to have full restoration of veterans' retirement pay.

Never again, can we have our troops feel their efforts are not appreciated, and more so, unwanted, as our troops had to deal with upon returning from Vietnam.

This was a disservice not only to the military members returning, but also to the families of those who would never return.

It's easy for someone to buy a bumper sticker to proclaim that we should "Support Our Troops." The reality of current government policies is far less than ideal, however. Budget cuts for VA mental health and substance abuse programs, which date back to the Clinton Administration, should be reversed.

Veterans are now victims of identity theft because of the VA's lack of security with their own system. Veterans are not prepared for the transition from Military to civilian life. Some Veterans are also facing court martial when they return home. Civilians don't understand war and I do not expect them to, but we should not treat our veterans as criminals and deny them their constitutional rights. My personal belief is that veterans risk their lives defending this great country, willing to do their job at any cost, even to the point of making the ultimate sacrifice. Veterans should receive the best benefits this country has to offer. It should not be a partisan issue. This is a moral and ethical issue. When politicians misrepresent the truth and say it is too expensive to give veterans the benefits they deserve and earned, it is clearly time to reconsider whose welfare these politicians are representing, regardless of what party they belong to.

E-mail: SteveWSanson@cs.com

Phone: 702 283 8088;

Web site: www.VeteransInPolitics.com

Immigration Commentary

By Frank L. Martano

The constant cry that our immigration policy is broken is truly debatable. It's not broken; very simply our Federal government has elected to condone, approve, applaud and encourage the constant flagrant violation of existing laws by illegal aliens. Many ask how our country can selectively enforce certain laws and ignore other laws.

After many years of prodding, finally a border fence bill was enacted. However, at this writing, there are forces working to prevent the actual building of this fence. The newly elected President of Mexico, Felipe Calderon, in a meeting with President Bush, ridiculed the proposed wall comparing it to the Berlin Wall. Someone should inform the Mexican President that the Berlin Wall was built to keep people imprisoned and keep them from fleeing. The wall or fence proposed by the U.S. is meant to keep people from entering our country illegally, specifically to prevent others from invading and violating our borders.

Unfortunately, our very own President Bush is still flaunting the will of our populace. There have been a variety of surveys wherein our Americans by ranges of between 50-80 percent have asked that we close our borders to stem the flow of illegal aliens streaming across without any regard for our laws and regulations. The guest worker plan solves nothing. Our current unemployment rate is approximately 4 percent indicating no serious need for more workers. The influx of illegal alien workers will only serve to depress our current labor force, drive down wages and disrupt our employee standards. Any possible benefits from this type of plan would be offset by all the costs incurred to support this illegal activity.

Our country must resolve this border problem at once, considering that the flood of illegal aliens is a national security crisis simmering and leading to explosive possibilities by providing access for a possible terrorist.

Our population has just passed 300 million people and there is evidence that our country is in a stage of saturation. Highways are constantly crowded, a variety of governmental services are stretched to capacity and facilities in all parts of our society are at a breaking point. It is imperative that something

must be done to curtail any further implosion in our population.

Currently there is in existence a visa program that includes approximately 24 categories of visa ranging from standards to temporary workers, diplomats, religious, cultural, NATO employee, language and vocational students, athletes, etc. This range of visas provided an extensive number of persons who would be admitted for specific periods of time.

The popular claim of racism is flawed. Our laws apply to all regardless of their country of origin, whether it is Europe, Asia, Africa, Australia or North and South America.

We as a country that must stop and regroup. We must handle our immediate needs first and this would enable us to continue to render all sorts of assistance to those outside our country. This is truly one more reason to proceed to close our borders and stop the flow of the illegal alien invasion.

Cobb Delivers Republican Call to Arms At January NRMC Luncheon Meeting

Newly elected Assemblyman Ty Cobb (R-Reno) delivered our kick-off speech for the new year with a review of the major issues up for debate in the 2007 Legislative Session. His speech, entitled "A Freshman's View of Carson," brought up some of the hot button issues from the recent campaign season and presented a few others that will surely arise in the coming months. Cobb's five major initiatives, which were highlighted in his speech, were accountability in our education system, meeting our transportation infrastructure needs, efficiency in government, fighting graffiti, and curbing the costs of illegal immigration to the state of Nevada. Cobb also discussed some of his ideas on how to grow the Republican Party.

Cobb was clear throughout the speech that he would need to approach his first Session as a learning experience. "I know enough to know that I don't know squat," he jokingly told the crowd of more than 100 people. Cobb stressed the importance of he and other Assembly Republicans working closely with experienced legislators in the Senate to leverage the Republican majority there as well as in the

Governor's office. He also mentioned Assemblyman Lynn Stewart (R-Las Vegas), who was in attendance, as someone with years of real-world experience and wisdom under his belt. Of Stewart's experience, Cobb commented, "You just can't substitute for such crucial qualities in a Legislator."

When discussing the upcoming Session, Cobb decried the tendency of the Assembly Democrat majority to demand a more expensive government instead of a more efficient one. In almost every area of government, the Democrats are seeking to spend more without reviewing how effectively that money has been spent in the past. Cobb called for a performance audit of every agency, department and program in the state to make government more accountable to the people. He also highlighted the impending crisis for public employee pensions and benefits, which is currently projected to have a \$10 billion shortfall, as an area Democrats refuse to address. The issue will soon be carried on the state's books as required by federal law- a practice which will cost the state tens of millions more in interest payments for bonded projects. Additionally, Cobb argued performance audits could be orchestrated so that auditors recommend privatization of services and divesting of personnel, where possible, to reduce wage and retirement costs which are often the largest costs to the state.

Cobb warned that Democrats were not likely to seek efficiency in our education system and are expected to push for new programs, such as all-day kindergarten, which have no on-going funding sources. He struck a statesman-like note with his call for an end to the "north-south divide," specifically as it pertains to the statewide transportation infrastructure deficit of \$4 billion, by suggesting that the majority of new road projects must be focused in Clark County. As a fiscal conservative, Cobb reasoned, spending money on our transportation corridors would mean more than just an improvement in our quality of life, but would act as an investment in our tourism-based economy which affords us the ability to avoid a state income tax. His illegal immigration bill, which would deny currently available state benefits such as unemployment and the Millennium Scholarship to non-citizens, echoed this fiscally conservative approach. Cobb's common sense concept is that Nevadans should not be required to subsidize those who aren't citizens. "I don't want folks from California receiving our state

benefits, just as I don't want folks from Canada to receive them either," he explained.

Cobb concluded by imploring us, as activists, to focus our efforts in 2008 to take back the Nevada Assembly. As he had explained, the Assembly Democrats are not interested in effective and efficient government, but instead, want more and more tax dollars to spend on unproven concepts. If we truly care about our conservative philosophies, it is absolutely crucial we work to have the entire Legislature in Republican control by the time redistricting takes place in the 2011 Session, which will effect the balance of power from the Legislature to the Congress. Many thanks to Ty for his informative speech, if you would like to contact the Assemblyman, his web site is www.votetycobb.com.

I'm a Republican Because...

I BELIEVE the strength of our nation lies with the individual and that each person's dignity, freedom, ability and responsibility must be honored.

I BELIEVE in equal rights, equal justice and equal opportunity for all, regardless of race, creed, sex, age or disability.

I BELIEVE free enterprise and encouraging individual initiative have brought this nation opportunity, economic growth and prosperity.

I BELIEVE government must practice fiscal responsibility and allow individuals to keep more of the money they earn.

I BELIEVE the proper role of government is to provide for the people only those critical functions that cannot be performed by individuals or private organizations, and that the best government is that which governs least.

I BELIEVE the most effective, responsible and responsive government is government closest to the people.

I BELIEVE Americans must retain the principles that have made us strong while developing new and innovative ideas to meet the challenges of changing times.

I BELIEVE Americans value and should preserve our national strength and pride while working to extend peace, freedom and human rights throughout the world.

FINALLY, I BELIEVE the Republican Party is the best vehicle for translating these ideals into positive and successful principles of government.

New Members and Sponsors Signed Up at January 8th Meeting

<u>New Member</u>	<u>Sponsored By</u>
Mark Roblee	Gene Empay
Michelle Hostmeyer	John Laub
John Theiss	John Laub
Taylor Myers	Barbara Cegavske
Kevin Page	Doug Gillespie
Paul Page	Doug Gillespie
John Strickland	Cory Santos, Esq.
Bob Leary	Chris Comfort
Michelle Smith	Ed Smith
Terry Wyatt	Richard Hawkins

This brings the total number of NRMC members to 460. Thanks for bringing friends and coworkers to our club meetings and think about who you'll sponsor for a new member at February's luncheon meeting.

COMMENTS, PLEASE... If you have a comment or opinion on improving this newsletter, please send your comments and suggestions to Martin Janowski (lvjanowski@cox.net) or just come up and say hello to him at the next luncheon. We've increased the number of printed pages and hope to expand it even more in the electronic edition in the coming months. If you have a suggested article or opinion, please send it to the email address above.

2007 NRMC Officers and Directors

President – Dr. Chris Comfort
Vice President – Russ Mickelson
Treasurer – Mike Mazur
Recording Secretary – Jane Ham
Corresponding Sec. – Martin Janowski

Board Members

Rebecca McNair 2, John Hambrick 2,
Paul Workman 2, Mike Moriarty 2
Jim Marsh 2, Gene Bare 1, Jim Frank 1
Jim Morrison 1, Steve Trenshaw 1

You don't get invited to a fancy dinner and just eat the appetizer and leave!

This Newsletter is just an appetizer. More articles, photos special features will be included in 2007 on the NRMC WEB SITE.

Visit it now and see what's new!

NRMC IS ON THE WEB!

<http://www.republicanmensclub.com>

IMPORTANT FOR ALL MEMBERS

**\$10 Annual Dues
So little an amount...
So easy to forget.**

A heartfelt thank you to the nearly 200 members who paid up their membership for 2007 (through 1/22/07). Unfortunately, that means that more than half of our members have not paid their annual dues for 2007. Mike Mazur, NRMC Treasurer, has sent another reminder. For your convenience, a list will be at the signup table verifying your payment or assisting you if you have not yet paid. If you have still not paid by the end of the February meeting, the sad news is that:

***THIS COULD BE THE LAST NRMC
NEWSLETTER YOU RECEIVE!!!***

Mailing costs represent one of the largest expenses to NRMC and it is not fair to members to subsidize other members who forget to pay their annual dues.

Thanks for your prompt response and support of the Nevada Republican Men's Club.

SHARING IS CARING...Share this newsletter with a friend, coworker or neighbor. Even better, invite them to be a member of NRMC and share lunch with you here!